

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**Before the Honorable Gregory B. Wormuth
Chief United States Magistrate Judge**

Clerk's Minutes

23-cv-362 GBW/JHR

Doe 1 et al. v. Eastern New Mexico Board of Regents et al.

**Date of Hearing: 12/12/2024
(not recorded)**

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Jane Doe 1-3:

Benjamin Osborn
Katherine Ferlic

Attorney for Intervenor Plaintiff

Foremost Insurance Company

Grand Rapids Michigan:

Scott Hatcher

Attorneys for Defendant

Eastern New Mexico Board

Of Regents:

Alisha Walz
Jerry Walz

Attorneys for Defendant

Glen De Los Reyes and

Glen's Fitness Lab, LLC:

Arlyn Crow
Mareike Bandy

Attorneys for Defendant

Meghan De Los Reyes:

Gerald Coppler

Attorney for Defendant

Paul Weir:

Carlos Quinones

Proceedings:

Scheduling Conference

Start Time:

1:30 p.m.

Stop Time:

1:45 p.m.

Total Time:

15 minutes

Clerk:

DKK

Notes:

- The Court made introductions.
- The Court explained that it had set this scheduling conference to discuss setting a new date for the previously set in-person motion hearing that was vacated on November 21, 2024. *See doc. 270.*
- The Court recognized that Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint ("Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend") (*doc. 130*) remains pending but noted its intent to proceed with scheduling a new date for the dispositive motion hearing.
- After discussion with the parties about their preferred date for the hearing, the Court set the motion hearing for **February 6, 2025, at 1:00 p.m.** in the **Organ Courtroom at the United States Courthouse, 100 N. Church St., Las Cruces, NM.**
- Mr. Coppler and Mr. Quinones requested that they be allowed to attend the motion hearing remotely via Zoom videoconference.
- Hearing no objections to their request, the Court stated that Mr. Coppler and Mr. Quinones may attend the motion hearing remotely via Zoom videoconference.
- The Court expressed that it may set a status conference in mid-January depending on the status of Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend.
- The Court asked if there was anything else to address.
- Hearing nothing, the Court concluded proceedings.